

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02														
	NAME OF FIRM(S)														
1	EBS ENGINEERING, INC. (LOCAL)						206	41	28	55	418			266 240	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	48	30	45	45									
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	19	15	17	17									
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	18	16	16	17									
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5									
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	4	4	5									
		77	95	70	87	89									
	Ordinal Scores	15	1	20	15	3									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		1	20								33	11		11
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		95	70											
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
2	CIVIL WORKS, INC. (LOCAL)						216	43	29	57	429			270 244	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	41	42	45	46	42									
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	18	17	17									
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	16	17	17									
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	4	5									
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	5	5	5									
		83	83	88	89	86									
	Ordinal Scores	12	16	11	9	5									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		16			5						32	10		10
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		83		89										
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	<b>TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02</b>														
3	THOMPSON & ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	43	42	45	40	208	42	28	55	417			267 241	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	17	18	17	18	85	17	11	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	16	18	18	16	82	16	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	3	4	5	19	4	3	5					
		75	85	86	88	83					254	35	12		12
	Ordinal Scores	17	10	13	12	9									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	17				9									
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	75			88										
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
4	CES CONSULTANTS, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	44	49	48	48	235	47	31	63	451			285 257	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	17	20	19	18	91	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	16	18	17	17	85	17	11	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	3	3	2	2	15	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7					
		90	85	95	91	90					271	10	1		1
	Ordinal Scores	4	8	2	4	2									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		8	2											
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		85	95											
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	<b>TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02</b>														
5	AMBRO, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	40	42	35	44	35	196	39	26	52	396			249 225	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	16	14	15	14	75	15	10	20					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	16	18	18	15	82	16	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	3	4	5	19	4	3	5					
		79	83	75	85	74					237	54	19		19
	Ordinal Scores	13	17	18	19	20									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	13				20									
	Dropped Qualitative Scores				85	74									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
6	METCO SERVICES SOUTHEAST, LLC (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	37	44	33	43	34	191	38	26	51	392			245 221	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	17	13	16	15	75	15	10	20					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	15	19	19	16	83	17	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	3	4	5	19	4	3	5					
		73	85	73	86	75					233	54	19		20
	Ordinal Scores	20	9	19	16	19									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	20	9												
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			73	86										
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT  ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02														
7	MILIAN, SWAIN & ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	42	49	49	49	232	46	31	62	437			276 250	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	14	19	18	84	17	11	22					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	16	19	18	18	87	17	12	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	1	1	1	11	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	4	5	5	5	23	5	3	6					
		84	82	88	92	91									
	Ordinal Scores	10	18	9	3	1									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		18			1						22	7		7
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		82		92										
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
8	CARDOZO ENGINEERING, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	47	49	49	37	226	45	30	60	446			284 257	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	18	20	19	17	90	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	18	18	17	17	87	17	12	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	3	4	20	4	3	5					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	4	5	5	5	23	5	3	6					
		86	91	96	93	80									
	Ordinal Scores	7	3	1	2	13									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores			1		13						12	2		2
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			96		80									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02														
9	MILLER, LEGG & ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	47	43	49	48	46	233	47	31	62	445			284 257	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	17	20	18	17	91	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	19	16	19	18	17	89	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	1	1	1	1	8	2	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	3	6					
		94	81	94	90	86					270	14	3		3
	Ordinal Scores	1	20	4	6	4									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	1	20												
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		81	94											
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
10	A.D.A. ENGINEERING, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	44	45	44	44	220	44	29	59	420			265 239	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	15	18	16	14	79	16	11	21					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	18	15	85	17	11	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	3	2	2	3	15	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	4	4	4	5	21	4	3	6					
		85	83	87	84	81					252	38	13		13
	Ordinal Scores	8	15	12	20	11									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	8			20										
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			87		81									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	<b>TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02</b>														
11	NIFAH AND PARTNERS CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	43	40	46	37	209	42	28	56	417			264 238	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	16	15	18	82	16	11	22					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	18	18	19	16	87	17	12	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	3	3	19	4	3	5					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	4	4	5	20	4	3	5					
		84	85	82	87	79					251	39	14		14
	Ordinal Scores	9	11	15	14	14									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	9		15											
	Dropped Qualitative Scores				87	79									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
12	CHEN MOORE AND ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	42	45	46	45	40	218	44	29	58	435			274 248	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	17	17	86	17	12	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	18	18	18	17	87	17	12	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	4	4	5	20	4	3	5					
		83	89	91	88	84					261	26	9		9
	Ordinal Scores	11	5	7	11	8									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	11	5												
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	83		91											
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	<b>TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02</b>														
13	EGSC ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	37	44	38	45	36	200	40	27	53	401			251 227	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	18	15	16	16	79	16	11	21					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	17	15	15	16	77	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	3	4	5	20	4	3	5					
		73	89	76	85	78					239	51	18		18
	Ordinal Scores	19	6	17	17	17									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	19	6												
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	73	89												
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
14	RODOLFO IBARRA, P.E., P.A. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	45	37	44	37	201	40	27	53	402			252 228	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	17	15	15	16	77	15	10	20					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	17	17	18	16	82	16	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	3	4	5	19	4	3	5					
		74	88	77	85	78					240	49	17		17
	Ordinal Scores	18	7	16	18	15									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	18	7												
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	74	88												
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														



FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
	<b>TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02</b>														
15	HBC ENGINEERING COMPANY (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	44	48	47	42	226	45	30	60	434			274 248	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	19	19	17	88	18	12	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	19	17	88	18	12	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	2	1	1	1	9	2	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	4	5	5	23	5	3	6					
		88	83	90	91	82					261	24	8		8
	Ordinal Scores	6	14	8	5	10									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		14		5										
	Dropped Qualitative Scores				91	82									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
16	PE CONSULTING ENGINEERING, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	43	40	46	36	203	41	27	54	411			257 233	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	17	16	17	16	81	16	11	22					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	16	18	18	16	83	17	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	3	4	4	5	19	4	3	5					
		76	84	83	90	78					245	42	15		16
	Ordinal Scores	16	12	14	7	16									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores				7	16									
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	76			90										
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														



FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK	
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)										
TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02																
17	C SOLUTIONS, INC. (LOCAL)															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		48	49	49	46	42	234	47	31	62	447			286 258	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		19	19	19	17	17	91	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		18	18	20	19	16	91	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	3	1	1	1	7	1	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores		91	94	94	87	81									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		3	2	5	13	12									
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			2		13							20	6		6
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.				94		81									
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											272				
18	PREMIERE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC. (LOCAL)															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		47	46	46	47	43	229	46	31	61	445			280 254	
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		18	18	18	18	18	90	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		19	18	19	18	18	92	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		4	3	1	1	1	10	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	4	5	5	24	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores		93	90	88	89	85									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		2	4	10	8	6									
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		2		10								18	5		5
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.		93				85									
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											267				

FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 29, 2016		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Pref. Range & Ranking	FINAL RANK
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT, UPGRADES AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL WASTEWATER PUMP STATIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES NECESSARY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02		Rolando Roque (WASD)	Isaac Smith (WASD)	Juan Paan (MDAD)	James Sumoski (DTPW)	Li Gurau (PortMiami)									
TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. E15-WASD-02															
19	ROBAYNA AND ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)						212	42	28	56	418			260 236	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	41	42	48	46	35									
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	16	18	16	16									
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	15	18	17	16									
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5									
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	4	4	5									
		78	82	93	88	77									
	Ordinal Scores	14	19	6	10	18									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		19	6			226	45	30	60	446	42	15	280 254	15
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			93		77									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														
20	SRS ENGINEERING, INC. (LOCAL)						226	45	30	60	446			280 254	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	43	48	47	43									
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	19	18	16									
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	16	19	19	16									
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	4									
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	4	5	5									
		89	84	95	94	84									
	Ordinal Scores	5	13	3	1	7									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores		13		1		226	45	30	60	446	15	4	280 254	4
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			95		84									
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.														
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

Jacqueline Carranza, Chairperson  
DRAFT, Pending Compliance Review

**ISD Project No. E15-WASD-02**  
**First Tier Meeting – Tie Break Summary**  
**July 29, 2016**

<b>Rolando Roque</b>		
Tie Break		Final Ranking for Rolando Roque
1	<u>Tie for 9th - Teams 7, 11</u> Team 7                                      4A = 4 Team 11                                      4A = 5	Team 7 = 10th Team 11 = 9th
2	<u>Tie for 11th - Teams 2, 12</u> Team 2                                      1A = 41 Team 12                                      1A = 42	Team 2 = 12th Team 12 = 11th
3	<u>Tie for 19th - Teams 6, 13</u> Team 6                                      Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 191 Team 13                                      Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 200	Team 6 = 20th Team 13 = 19th

<b>Issac Smith</b>		
Tie Break		Final Ranking for Issac Smith
1	<u>Tie for 5th - Teams 12, 13</u> Team 12                                      1A = 45 Team 13                                      1A = 44	Team 12 = 5th Team 13 = 6th
2	<u>Tie for 8th - Teams 3, 4, 6, 11</u> Team 3                                      1A = 43, 2A = 17 Team 4                                      1A = 44, 2A = 17, 3A = 16 Team 6                                      1A = 44, 2A = 17, 3A = 15 Team 11                                      1A = 43, 2A = 16	Team 3 = 10th Team 4 = 8th Team 6 = 9th Team 11 = 11th
3	<u>Tie for 12th - Teams 16, 20</u> Team 16                                      2A = 17 Team 20                                      2A = 16	Team 16 = 12th Team 20 = 13th
4	<u>Tie for 14th - Teams 2, 5, 10, 15</u> Team 2                                      1A = 42, 2A = 16, 3A = 17 Team 5                                      1A = 42, 2A = 16, 3A = 16 Team 10                                      1A = 44, 2A = 15 Team 15                                      1A = 44, 2A = 16	Team 2 = 16th Team 5 = 17th Team 10 = 15th Team 15 = 14th
5	<u>Tie for 18th - Teams 7, 19</u> Team 7                                      3A = 16 Team 19                                      3A = 15	Team 7 = 18th Team 19 = 19th

<b>Juan Paan</b>		
Tie Break		Final Ranking for Juan Paan
1	<u>Tie for 2nd - Teams 4, 20</u> Team 4                                      1A = 49 Team 20                                      1A = 48	Team 4 = 2nd Team 20 = 3rd
2	<u>Tie for 4th - Teams 9, 17</u> Team 9                                      2A = 20 Team 17                                      2A = 19	Team 9 = 4th Team 17 = 5th
3	<u>Tie for 9th - Teams 2, 7, 18</u> Team 2                                      1A = 45 Team 7                                      1A = 49 Team 18                                      1A = 46	Team 2 = 11th Team 7 = 9th Team 18 = 10th

James Sumoski		
Tie Break		Final Ranking for James Sumoski
1	<u>Tie for 4th - Teams 4, 15</u> Team 4                                      1A = 48 Team 15                                      1A = 47	Team 4 = 4th Team 15 = 5th
2	<u>Tie for 6th - Teams 9, 16</u> Team 9                                      1A = 48 Team 16                                      1A = 46	Team 9 = 6th Team 16 = 7th
3	<u>Tie for 8th - Teams 2, 18</u> Team 2                                      1A = 46 Team 18                                      1A = 47	Team 2 = 9th Team 18 = 8th
4	<u>Tie for 10th - Teams 3, 12, 19</u> Team 3                                      1A = 45, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 208 Team 12                                      1A = 45, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 218 Team 19                                      1A = 46	Team 3 = 12th Team 12 = 11th Team 19 = 10th
5	<u>Tie for 13th - Teams 1, 11, 17</u> Team 1                                      1A = 45 Team 11                                      1A = 46, 2A = 15 Team 17                                      1A = 46, 2A = 17	Team 1 = 15th Team 11 = 14th Team 17 = 13th
6	<u>Tie for 17th - Teams 5, 13, 14</u> Team 5                                      1A = 44, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 196 Team 13                                      1A = 45 Team 14                                      1A = 44, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 201	Team 5 = 19th Team 13 = 17th Team 14 = 18th

Li Gurau		
Tie Break		Final Ranking for Li Gurau
1	<u>Tie for 4th - Teams 2, 9</u> Team 2                                      1A = 42 Team 9                                      1A = 46	Team 2 = 5th Team 9 = 4th
2	<u>Tie for 7th - Teams 12, 20</u> Team 12                                      1A = 40 Team 20                                      1A = 43	Team 12 = 8th Team 20 = 7th
3	<u>Tie for 11th - Teams 10, 17</u> Team 10                                      1A = 44 Team 17                                      1A = 42	Team 10 = 11th Team 17 = 12th
4	<u>Tie for 15th - Teams 13, 14, 16</u> Team 13                                      1A = 36, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 200 Team 14                                      1A = 37 Team 16                                      1A = 36, Total Qual. Pts. 1A = 203	Team 13 = 17th Team 14 = 15th Team 16 = 16th

Tie for Final Ranking		
Tie Break		Final Ranking
1	<u>Tie for 15th - Teams 16, 19</u> Team 16                                      Total Adj. Qual. Pts. = 245 Team 19                                      Total Adj. Qual. Pts. = 248	Team 16 = 16th Team 19 = 15th
2	<u>Tie for 19th - Teams 5, 6</u> Team 5                                      Total Adj. Qual. Pts. = 237 Team 6                                      Total Adj. Qual. Pts. = 233	Team 5 = 19th Team 6 = 20th